Defendant OpenTable, Inc. ("OpenTable") answers the Second Amended Complaint for

Patent Infringement filed by plaintiff Ameranth, Inc. ("Ameranth") and asserts counterclaims as

3	follows:
4	<u>PARTIES</u>
5	1. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
6	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 1 and on that basis denies such allegations.
7	2. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
8	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 2 and on that basis denies such allegations.
9	3. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
10	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 3 and on that basis denies such allegations.
11	4. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
12	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 4 and on that basis denies such allegations.
13	5. OpenTable admits that it is a Delaware corporation having a principal place of
14	business in San Francisco, California, and that it offers for sale or license products and services
15	in this judicial district. Except as expressly admitted, OpenTable denies the allegations of
16	Paragraph 5.
17	6. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
18	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 6 and on that basis denies such allegations.
19	7. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
20	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 7 and on that basis denies such allegations.
21	8. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
22	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 8 and on that basis denies such allegations.
23	9. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
24	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 9 and on that basis denies such allegations.
25	10. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
26	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 10 and on that basis denies such allegations.
27	11. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
28	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 11 and on that basis denies such allegations.
 	OPENTABLE, INC.'S FIRST AMEN

1

2

JURISDICTION AND VENUE 1 2 12. OpenTable admits that the Second Amended Complaint for Patent Infringement 3 purports to state an action for patent infringement arising under the Patent Laws of the United 4 States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, 281-285. Except as expressly admitted, OpenTable denies the 5 allegations of Paragraph 12. 13. OpenTable admits the allegations of Paragraph 13. 6 7 14. OpenTable admits that it has offered for sale or license its products and services 8 in this judicial district. Except as expressly admitted, OpenTable is without knowledge or 9 information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 14 10 and on that basis denies such allegations. 11 15. OpenTable admits that the Court has personal jurisdiction over it. Except as 12 expressly admitted, OpenTable denies the allegations of Paragraph 15. 13 16. OpenTable admits that venue in this judicial district is proper as to OpenTable. 14 Except as expressly admitted, OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form 15 a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 16 and on that basis denies such 16 allegations. 17 **BACKGROUND** 17. 18 OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to 19 the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 17 and on that basis denies such allegations. 20 18. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to 21 the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 18 and on that basis denies such allegations. 22 19. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to 23 the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 19 and on that basis denies such allegations. 20. 24 OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to 25 the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 20 and on that basis denies such allegations. 26 **COUNT I** 27 21. No response is required.

28

22.

OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to

the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 22 and on that basis denies such allegations.

OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to

1

2

11

23.

the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 36 and on that basis denies such allegations.

- 37. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 37 and on that basis denies such allegations.
- 38. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 38 and on that basis denies such allegations.
- 39. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 39 and on that basis denies such allegations.
- 40. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 40 and on that basis denies such allegations.
- 41. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 41 and on that basis denies such allegations.
- 42. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 42 and on that basis denies such allegations.
- 43. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 43 and on that basis denies such allegations.
- 44. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 44 and on that basis denies such allegations.
- 45. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 45 and on that basis denies such allegations.
- 46. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 46 and on that basis denies such allegations.
- 47. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 47 and on that basis denies such allegations.
- 48. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 48 and on that basis denies such allegations.
- 49. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 49 and on that basis denies such allegations.
 - 50. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to

1	the truth of th	ne allegations contained in Paragraph 50 and on that basis denies such allegations.
2	51.	OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
3	the truth of th	ne allegations contained in Paragraph 51 and on that basis denies such allegations.
4	52.	OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
5	the truth of th	ne allegations contained in Paragraph 52 and on that basis denies such allegations.
6	53.	OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
7	the truth of th	ne allegations contained in Paragraph 53 and on that basis denies such allegations.
8	54.	OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
9	the truth of th	ne allegations contained in Paragraph 54 and on that basis denies such allegations.
10	55.	OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
11	the truth of th	ne allegations contained in Paragraph 55 and on that basis denies such allegations.
12	56.	OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
13	the truth of th	ne allegations contained in Paragraph 56 and on that basis denies such allegations.
14	57.	OpenTable denies the allegations of Paragraph 57.
15	58.	OpenTable denies the allegations of Paragraph 58.
16	59.	OpenTable denies the allegations of Paragraph 59.
17	60.	OpenTable denies the allegations of Paragraph 60.
18	61.	OpenTable denies the allegations of Paragraph 61.
19	62.	OpenTable admits that it had knowledge of U.S. Patent No. 6,384,850 (the "'850
20	Patent") by n	o later than the date it was first served with the complaint in this action. Except as
21	expressly adr	nitted, OpenTable denies the allegations of Paragraph 62.
22	63.	OpenTable denies the allegations of Paragraph 63.
23	64.	OpenTable admits that it provides instruction and direction regarding the use of
24	its products a	and services and that it advertises and promotes the use of its products and services.
25	Except as exp	pressly admitted, OpenTable denies the allegations of Paragraph 64.
26	65.	OpenTable admits that it had knowledge of the '850 Patent by no later than the
27	date it was fir	rst served with the complaint in this action. Except as expressly admitted,
28	OpenTable d	enies the allegations of Paragraph 65.

OpenTable denies the allegations of Paragraph 66.

OpenTable denies the allegations of Paragraph 67.

66.

67.

1

1	123. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
2	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 123 and on that basis denies such allegations.
3	124. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
4	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 124 and on that basis denies such allegations.
5	125. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
6	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 125 and on that basis denies such allegations.
7	126. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
8	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 126 and on that basis denies such allegations.
9	127. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
0	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 127 and on that basis denies such allegations.
1	128. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
2	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 128 and on that basis denies such allegations.
3	129. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
4	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 129 and on that basis denies such allegations.
5	130. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
6	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 130 and on that basis denies such allegations.
17	131. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
8	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 131 and on that basis denies such allegations.
9	132. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
20	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 132 and on that basis denies such allegations.
21	133. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
22	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 133 and on that basis denies such allegations.
23	<u>COUNT II</u>
24	134. No response is required.
25	135. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
26	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 135 and on that basis denies such allegations.
27	136. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
28	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 136 and on that basis denies such allegations.

151. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 151 and on that basis denies such allegations. 152. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 152 and on that basis denies such allegations.
153. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 153 and on that basis denies such allegations.
154. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 154 and on that basis denies such allegations.
155. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 155 and on that basis denies such allegations.
156. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 156 and on that basis denies such allegations.
157. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 157 and on that basis denies such allegations.
158. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 158 and on that basis denies such allegations.
159. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 159 and on that basis denies such allegations.
160. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 160 and on that basis denies such allegations.
161. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 161 and on that basis denies such allegations.
162. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 162 and on that basis denies such allegations.
163. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 163 and on that basis denies such allegations.
164. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 164 and on that basis denies such allegations.

1	165.	OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
2	the truth of th	e allegations contained in Paragraph 165 and on that basis denies such allegations.
3	166.	OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
4	the truth of th	e allegations contained in Paragraph 166 and on that basis denies such allegations.
5	167.	OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
6	the truth of th	e allegations contained in Paragraph 167 and on that basis denies such allegations.
7	168.	OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
8	the truth of th	e allegations contained in Paragraph 168 and on that basis denies such allegations.
9	169.	OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
10	the truth of th	e allegations contained in Paragraph 169 and on that basis denies such allegations.
11	170.	OpenTable denies the allegations of Paragraph 170.
12	171.	OpenTable denies the allegations of Paragraph 171.
13	172.	OpenTable denies the allegations of Paragraph 172.
14	173.	OpenTable denies the allegations of Paragraph 173.
15	174.	OpenTable denies the allegations of Paragraph 174.
16	175.	OpenTable admits that it had knowledge of U.S. Patent No. 6,871,325 (the "'325
17	Patent") by no	o later than the date it was first served with the complaint in this action. Except as
18	expressly adn	nitted, OpenTable denies the allegations of Paragraph 175.
19	176.	OpenTable denies the allegations of Paragraph 176.
20	177.	OpenTable admits that it provides instruction and direction regarding the use of
21	its products a	nd services and that it advertises and promotes the use of its products and services.
22	Except as exp	oressly admitted, OpenTable denies the allegations of Paragraph 177.
23	178.	OpenTable admits that it had knowledge of the '325 Patent by no later than the
24	date it was fir	est served with the complaint in this action. Except as expressly admitted,
25	OpenTable de	enies the allegations of Paragraph 178.
26	179.	OpenTable denies the allegations of Paragraph 179.
27	180.	OpenTable denies the allegations of Paragraph 180.
28	181.	OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to

the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 181 and on that basis denies such allegations.

OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to

3	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 182 and on that basis denies such allegations.
4	183. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
5	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 183 and on that basis denies such allegations.
6	184. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
7	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 184 and on that basis denies such allegations.
8	185. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
9	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 185 and on that basis denies such allegations.
10	186. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
11	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 186 and on that basis denies such allegations.
12	187. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
13	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 187 and on that basis denies such allegations.
14	188. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
15	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 188 and on that basis denies such allegations.
16	189. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
17	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 189 and on that basis denies such allegations.
18	190. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
19	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 190 and on that basis denies such allegations.
20	191. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
21	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 191 and on that basis denies such allegations.
22	192. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
23	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 192 and on that basis denies such allegations.
24	193. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
25	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 193 and on that basis denies such allegations.
26	194. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
27	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 194 and on that basis denies such allegations.
28	195. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
 	OPENTABLE, INC.'S FIRST AMENI

1

2

182.

- 1	
1	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 195 and on that basis denies such allegations
2	196. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
3	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 196 and on that basis denies such allegations
4	197. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
5	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 197 and on that basis denies such allegations
6	198. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
7	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 198 and on that basis denies such allegations
8	199. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
9	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 199 and on that basis denies such allegations
10	200. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
11	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 200 and on that basis denies such allegations
12	201. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
13	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 201 and on that basis denies such allegations
14	202. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
15	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 202 and on that basis denies such allegations
16	203. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
17	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 203 and on that basis denies such allegations
18	204. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
19	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 204 and on that basis denies such allegations
20	205. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
21	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 205 and on that basis denies such allegations
22	206. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
23	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 206 and on that basis denies such allegations
24	207. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
25	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 207 and on that basis denies such allegations
26	208. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
27	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 208 and on that basis denies such allegations
28	209. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to

the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 209 and on that basis denies such allegations.

the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 223 and on that basis denies such allegations.

OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to

1

2

224.

1	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 237 and on that basis denies such allegations.
2	238. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
3	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 238 and on that basis denies such allegations.
4	239. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
5	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 239 and on that basis denies such allegations.
6	240. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
7	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 240 and on that basis denies such allegations.
8	241. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
9	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 241 and on that basis denies such allegations.
10	242. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
11	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 242 and on that basis denies such allegations.
12	243. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
13	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 243 and on that basis denies such allegations.
14	244. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
15	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 244 and on that basis denies such allegations.
16	245. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
17	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 245 and on that basis denies such allegations.
18	246. OpenTable is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to
19	the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 246 and on that basis denies such allegations.
20	PRAYER FOR RELIEF
21	247. OpenTable denies that Ameranth is entitled to any of the relief it seeks with
22	respect to OpenTable, including any of the relief sought in Paragraphs 1-9 of its Prayer for
23	Relief. To the extent the Prayer for Relief is directed to other parties, OpenTable is without
24	sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the allegations set forth therein and on
25	that basis denies such allegations.
26	<u>DEFENSES</u>
27	248. OpenTable asserts the following as separate, distinct, and non-exclusive defenses
28	By asserting these defenses, OpenTable does not thereby assume the burden of proof.

1	<u>First Defense</u>
2	(Failure to State a Claim upon Which Relief Can Be Granted)
3	249. The Second Amended Complaint for Patent Infringement fails to state a claim
4	upon which relief can be granted.
5	Second Defense
6	(Non-Infringement of the '850 Patent)
7	250. OpenTable has not infringed and does not infringe any of the claims of the
8	asserted '850 Patent, either directly, contributorily, or by inducement, and Ameranth will be
9	unable to establish the infringement of any of the claims of the '850 Patent.
10	<u>Third Defense</u>
11	(Invalidity of the '850 Patent)
12	251. The asserted '850 Patent is invalid and unenforceable for failure to satisfy the
13	requirements for patentability under Title 35 of the United States Code, including in particular
14	sections 101, 102, 103, and/or 112.
15	Fourth Defense
16	(Non-Infringement of the '325 Patent)
17	252. OpenTable has not infringed and does not infringe any of the claims of the
18	asserted '325 Patent, either directly, contributorily, or by inducement, and Ameranth will be
19	unable to establish the infringement of any of the claims of the '325 Patent.
20	<u>Fifth Defense</u>
21	(Invalidity of the '325 Patent)
22	253. The asserted '325 Patent is invalid and unenforceable for failure to satisfy the
23	
	requirements for patentability under Title 35 of the United States Code, including in particular
24	requirements for patentability under Title 35 of the United States Code, including in particular sections 101, 102, 103, and/or 112.
2425	
	sections 101, 102, 103, and/or 112.
25	sections 101, 102, 103, and/or 112. Sixth Defense

1	Seventh Defense
2	(Limitation of Damages and Costs)
3	255. Ameranth's claims for damages and costs are barred, in whole or in part, under
4	35 U.S.C. §§ 286, 287, and/or 288.
5	Eighth Defense
6	(Equitable Defenses)
7	256. On information and belief, Ameranth's claims against OpenTable are barred, in
8	whole or in part, under the doctrines of laches, estoppel, waiver, and/or unclean hands.
9	<u>Ninth Defense</u>
10	(Misjoinder)
11	257. On information and belief, some or all of the defendants have been improperly
12	joined in a single action, and OpenTable asserts its right to a separate trial.
13	Reservation of Additional Defenses
14	258. OpenTable has not knowingly and intentionally waived any applicable affirmative
15	or other defenses and reserves the right to raise additional affirmative or other defenses as they
16	become known through discovery or further investigation. OpenTable further reserves the right
17	to amend and supplement its answer and defenses as further information becomes available.
18	<u>COUNTERCLAIMS</u>
19	Counterclaimant OpenTable hereby alleges the following counterclaims against
20	counterclaim defendant Ameranth as follows:
21	The Parties
22	1. OpenTable is a Delaware corporation having its principal executive offices in San
23	Francisco, California.
24	2. On information and belief, Ameranth is a Delaware corporation having its
25	principal place of business in San Diego, California.
26	Jurisdiction and Venue
27	3. These counterclaims arise under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of
28	the United States Code, and the Federal Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.

1	This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over these Counterclaims pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
2	§§ 1331, 1338(a), 2201, and 2202.
3	4. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Ameranth because it has purposefully
4	availed itself of the benefits and protections of this jurisdiction, including by filing the instant
5	action.
6	5. Venue for these counterclaims is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
7	§ 1391.
8	Nature of the Action
9	6. This is an action for a declaratory judgment pursuant to the Federal Declaratory
10	Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202, for the purpose of determining a question of actual
11	controversy between the parties. As described below, OpenTable asserts counterclaims seeking
12	a declaratory judgment that the claims of the '850 Patent and '325 Patent are each invalid and
13	unenforceable and that they are not infringed by OpenTable. An actual controversy over the
14	infringement, validity, and enforceability of the patents at issue exists between OpenTable and
15	Ameranth, as evidenced by the allegations of the Second Amended Complaint for Patent
16	Infringement and OpenTable's responses thereto.
17	<u>Counterclaim for Relief – Count I</u>
18	(Declaratory Judgment of Invalidity of the '850 Patent)
19	7. OpenTable incorporates by reference each of the allegations of Paragraphs 1-6 of
20	the counterclaims above as if fully set forth herein.
21	8. In the Second Amended Complaint for Patent Infringement, Ameranth alleges
22	that it is the lawful owner by assignment of all right, title, and interest in and to the '850 Patent.
23	9. On or about August 15, 2011, Ameranth filed a complaint against OpenTable
24	alleging infringement of the '850 Patent. OpenTable herein denies the allegations of
25	infringement and asserts at least the defenses alleged above. Accordingly, an actual justiciable
26	controversy exists between OpenTable and Ameranth as to whether the claims of the '850 Patent
27	are invalid, unenforceable, and/or not infringed by OpenTable.
28	10. Each claim of the '850 Patent is invalid and unenforceable for failure to comply

1	with one or more of the requirements of Title 35 U.S.C., including without limitation sections
2	101, 102, 103, and/or 112, for at least the reasons specified in Defendants' Preliminary Invalidity
3	Contentions served on Ameranth on June 18, 2012.
4	11. Pursuant to the Federal Declaratory Judgment Act, OpenTable requests a judicial
5	declaration of the Court that the '850 Patent is invalid and unenforceable.
6	<u>Counterclaim for Relief – Count II</u>
7	(Declaratory Judgment of Invalidity of the '325 Patent)
8	12. OpenTable incorporates by reference each of the allegations of Paragraphs 1-11
9	of the counterclaims above as if fully set forth herein.
0	13. In the Second Amended Complaint for Patent Infringement, Ameranth alleges
1	that it is the lawful owner by assignment of all right, title, and interest in and to the '325 Patent.
2	14. On or about August 15, 2011, Ameranth filed a complaint against OpenTable
3	alleging infringement of the '325 Patent. OpenTable herein denies the allegations of
4	infringement and asserts at least the defenses alleged above. Accordingly, an actual justiciable
5	controversy exists between OpenTable and Ameranth as to whether the claims of the '325 Patent
6	are invalid, unenforceable, and/or not infringed by OpenTable.
17	15. Each claim of the '325 Patent is invalid and unenforceable for failure to comply
8	with one or more of the requirements of Title 35 U.S.C., including without limitation sections
9	101, 102, 103, and/or 112, for at least the reasons specified in Defendants' Preliminary Invalidity
20	Contentions served on Ameranth on June 18, 2012.
21	16. Pursuant to the Federal Declaratory Judgment Act, OpenTable requests a judicial
22	declaration of the Court that the '325 Patent is invalid and unenforceable.
23	RELIEF REQUESTED
24	OpenTable requests judgment as follows:
25	1. A declaration that the claims of the '850 Patent are invalid and unenforceable;
26	2. A declaration that the claims of the '325 Patent are invalid and unenforceable;
27	3. The entry of a judgment against Ameranth and in favor of OpenTable on all the
28	claims asserted by Ameranth in the present action;

Gase 3:11-cv-01810-JLS-NLS Document 229 Filed 07/17/12 Page 24 of 25

1	4.	That OpenTable be awarded costs and	d reasonable attorney fees under 35 U.S.C.
2	§ 285; and		
3	5.	That OpenTable be awarded any and	all further relief the Court deems just and
4	proper.		
5	JURY DEMAND		
6	Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, OpenTable demands a jury		
7	trial on all issues raised by Ameranth's complaint, OpenTable's answer, and OpenTable's		
8	counterclaims for which that right exists.		
9	Dated: July	17, 2012 Res	pectfully submitted,
10		LA	THAM & WATKINS LLP
11		D	/a/ Irror I. Dan
12		Бу:	/s/ James L. Day
13			LATHAM & WATKINS LLP Mark A. Flagel (CA Bar No. 110635)
14			Lead Attorney 355 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, California 90071
15			Telephone: (213) 485-1234 Facsimile: (213) 891-8763
16			mark.flagel@lw.com
17			LATHAM & WATKINS LLP James L. Day (Bar No. 197158)
18			Ryan B. Erickson (Bar No. 268239) 505 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000
19			San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 391-0600
20			Facsimile: (415) 395-8095 jim.day@lw.com
21			ryan.erickson@lw.com
22			Attorneys for Defendant OpenTable, Inc.
23			Орентионе, т.с.
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
2	The undersigned hereby certifies that on the 17th day of July, 2012, the foregoing		
3	document was electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system per		
4	Local Rule CV-5(a), which will issue an electronic notification of filing to all counsel of record.		
5	/s/ James L. Day James L. Day		
6	James L. Day		
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			